## THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

## **GALVESTON DIVISION**

HAROLD L. PHILLIPS	8	
	§	
VS.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. G-04-006
	§	
STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL.	§	

HADOLD I DIHLIDO

## REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On March 3, 2006, this Court convened a Scheduling Conference in the above-styled and numbered cause. Plaintiff, Harold L. Phillips, despite actual notice of the Conference failed to appear. The Defendants appeared through counsel, Assistant Attorney General Lindsay A. Todd. Upon inquiry, Todd informed the Court that Phillips had failed to appear for his noticed deposition; had failed to timely respond or object to properly served Requests for Admissions, Interrogatories and Requests for Production; and had repeatedly refused to confer with her regarding his failure to make or cooperate in discovery.

In response to the previously filed "Defendant's Motion to Enlarge Time of the Discovery Deadline Due to Plaintiff's Failure to Attend His Deposition" this Court entered an Order dated February 9, 2006, which, *inter alia*, warned Phillips that his failure to cooperate in discovery could expose him to sanctions under Rule 37 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Nevertheless, Phillips has, without any justification, abused the discovery process.

For the foregoing reasons, it is **RECOMMENDED** that appropriate sanctions be imposed upon Phillips.

It is further **RECOMMENDED** that Phillips' Complaint be **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

It is further **RECOMMENDED** that Phillips be ordered to pay to the Defendants attorney's

fees in the amount of \$1,500.00 and reasonable expenses in the amount of \$1,300.00 as a result

of his discovery abuses.

The Clerk SHALL send copies of this Report and Recommendation to the Plaintiff.

Plaintiff SHALL have until March 24, 2006, to file written objections to the Report and

Recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The Objections SHALL be mailed to

the United States District Clerk, P.O. Drawer 2300, Galveston, Texas 77553. Any Objections

filed SHALL be contained in a written document specifically entitled "Objections to the Report

and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge", which will then be forwarded to the District Judge

for consideration. Failure to file objections within the prescribed time shall bar an aggrieved party

from attacking on appeal the factual findings and legal conclusions accepted by the District Judge,

except upon grounds of plain error.

**DONE** at Galveston, Texas, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of March, 2006.

John R. Froeschner

United States Magistrate Judge